

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion and analysis as provided by the management of Raging River Exploration Inc. ("Raging River" or the "Company") should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim financial statements for the period ended September 30, 2012 and the notes thereto. This discussion is based on information available to and is dated, November 19, 2012. The interim financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB").

### **Forward Looking Statements**

*This MD&A may include forward-looking statements including opinions, assumptions, estimates and management's assessment of future plans and operations, expected royalty rates, and drilling royalty credits on the Company, plans to monitor operating and capital expenditures and to adjust capital spending if required, expectations as to the non-taxability of the Company and capital expenditures and the timing and funding thereof. When used in this document, the words "anticipate", "believe", "estimate", "expect", "intent", "may", "project", "plan", "should" and similar expressions are intended to be among the statements that identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are subject to a wide range of risks and uncertainties, and although the Company believes that the expectations represented by such forward-looking statements are reasonable, there can be no assurance that such expectations will be realized. Any number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, risks associated with petroleum and natural gas, exploration, development, exploitation, production, marketing and transportation, the volatility of petroleum and natural gas prices, currency fluctuations, the ability to implement corporate strategies, the state of domestic capital markets, the ability to obtain financing, incorrect assessments of the value of acquisitions, failure to realize the anticipated benefits of acquisitions, changes in petroleum and natural gas acquisition and drilling programs, delays resulting from inability to obtain required regulatory approvals, delays resulting from other producers, imprecision or reserve estimates, labour supply risks, environmental risks, competition from other producers, imprecision of reserve estimates, changes in general economic conditions, whether farm-in and farm-out opportunities result in agreements and other factors more fully described from time to time in the reports and filings made by the Company with securities regulatory authorities. Statements relating to "reserves" or "resources" are deemed to be forward looking statements, as they involve the implied assessment, based on certain estimates and assumptions, that the resources and reserves described can be profitably produced in the future. The forward looking statements contained in this MD&A are expressly qualified by this cautionary statement. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, as no assurances can be given as to future results, levels of activity or achievements. Except as required by applicable securities laws, the Company does not undertake any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.*

The Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") contains the term funds flow from operations which should not be considered an alternative to, or more meaningful than, cash flow from operating activities as determined in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as an indicator of the Company's performance. The reconciliation between cash flow from operating activities and funds from operations can be found in the statement of cash flows in the unaudited interim financial statements and is presented before the change in non-cash operating working capital. The Company reconciles funds flow from operations to cash flow from operating activities, which is the most directly comparable measure calculated in accordance with IFRS, as follows:

	Three months ended September 30,		Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Cash flow from operating activities	9,748		12,998	-
Changes in non – cash working capital	521	-	5,711	-
Funds flow from operations	10,269	-	18,709	-

The Company presents funds from operations per share whereby per share amounts are calculated consistent with the calculation of earnings per share.

The MD&A also contains other terms such as net debt and operating netbacks, which are not recognized measures under IFRS. Management believes these measures are useful supplemental measures of firstly, the total amount of current and long-term debt the Company has, and secondly, the amount of revenues received after the royalties, operating and transportation costs. Net debt and working capital deficiency, which terms represent current assets less current liabilities and bank debt is used to assess efficiency, liquidity and the general financial strength of the Company. Mark-to-market risk management contracts are excluded from the net debt calculation. Readers are cautioned however, that these measures should not be construed as an alternative to other terms such as current and long-term debt or net earnings in accordance with IFRS as measures of performance. The Company's method of calculating these measures may differ from other companies, and accordingly, may not be comparable to measures used by other companies.

The term barrels of oil equivalent ("boe") may be misleading, particularly if used in isolation. Per boe amounts have been calculated using a conversion rate of six thousand cubic feet of natural gas to one barrel of oil. This equivalence is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not represent a value equivalency at the wellhead.

### **Description of the Company**

Raging River was incorporated as 1646988 Alberta Ltd. pursuant to the Business Corporations Act (Alberta) on December 15, 2011 and subsequently changed its name to Raging River Exploration Inc. Raging River is a crude oil and natural gas exploration, development and production company based in Calgary, Alberta, Canada. The Company's operations are currently focused in the Dodsland area of southwest Saskatchewan.

Raging River commenced active operations on March 16, 2012 following the completion of the Plan of Arrangement among Wild Stream Exploration Inc., Crescent Point Energy Corp. and the Company. Upon completion of the Plan of Arrangement, Wild Stream shareholders received 1.0 Raging River common share, 0.17 common share of Crescent Point and 0.2 of a Raging River purchase warrant. Concurrent with the arrangement Raging River acquired certain oil-weighted assets (the "Acquired Assets") in the Dodsland area in southwest Saskatchewan. The Acquired Assets were purchased with an effective date of January 1, 2012 and a closing date of March 15, 2012.

Accordingly, the operations below reflect only a 198 day period in the period ended September 30, 2012. No comparisons for operational results have been included as Raging River was not a reporting issuer prior to March 16, 2012.

### **Corporate Highlights**

#### **Third quarter ended September 30, 2012**

- Achieved record production of 2,127 boe/d (97% oil) an increase of 24% from the second quarter volumes and over 100% from our January 2012 production volumes.
- Increased corporate netback's to \$52.49/boe resulting in corporate funds flow from operations of \$10.3 million for the third quarter representing \$0.08/share. This represents an increase of 37% from our second quarter funds flow from operations of \$7.5 million.
- Achieved our previous increased exit guidance of 2,800 boe/d at the end of October 2012.

- The Company drilled a total of 37 gross (28.6 net) wells during the third quarter including 36 horizontal Viking oil wells at a 100% success rate and one vertical stratigraphic test well.
- Focused on operating cost reduction and achieved a 15% reduction in operating costs from the second quarter to \$12.03/boe.
- Improved overall G&A costs to \$2.48/boe representing a 38% decrease in costs from the second quarter of 2012.
- Increased the approved capital budget to \$82 million from \$67 million.
- Maintained balance sheet strength with third quarter exit net debt of \$25.8 million, representing 0.6 times debt to the third quarter annualized cash flow.

### **Petroleum and Natural Gas Revenue**

	Three months ended September 30,		Percent Change	Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30,		Percent Change
	2012	2011		2012	2011	
	<i>(thousands of dollars)</i>			<i>(thousands of dollars)</i>		
Liquids revenue	14,976	-	n/a	28,096	-	n/a
Natural gas revenue	56	-	n/a	96	-	n/a
Royalty revenue	6	-	n/a	8	-	n/a
	<u>15,038</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>28,200</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>n/a</u>

#### Operating: (6:1 boe conversion)

Average daily production						
Liquids (bbls/d)	2,073	-	n/a	1,831	-	n/a
Natural gas (mcf/d)	319	-	n/a	293	-	n/a
Barrels of oil equivalent (boe/d)	2,127	-	n/a	1,880	-	n/a
Average Raging River sales price						
Liquids (\$/bbl)	78.54	-	n/a	77.50	-	n/a
Natural gas (\$/mcf)	1.91	-	n/a	1.65	-	n/a
Barrel of oil equivalent (\$/boe)	76.86	-	n/a	75.74	-	n/a
Average Benchmark Prices						
Crude Oil - WTI (US\$/bbl)	92.18	89.29	3	98.15	95.27	3
Crude Oil - Edmonton Par	84.79	92.45	(8)	88.54	94.32	(6)
Natural gas - AECO	2.20	3.63	(39)	2.23	3.77	(41)
Exchange rate (US\$/Cdn\$)	0.99	1.02	(3)	1.00	1.02	(2)

The Company takes almost all of its working interest production "in kind" and it is marketed and sold through various credit-worthy commodity purchasers. Raging River's crude oil is marketed under a short-term (30 day) contract with a crude oil marketer and through major North American crude oil purchasers. All of the Company's natural gas is currently sold as spot gas through significant North American natural gas marketers.

Commodity prices are affected by both domestic and international factors that are beyond the control of the Company. The wide spread between the WTI price and the Edmonton Par continued into the third quarter impacting the oil price received by the Company. In addition, prices received for crude oil are determined by the quality of the crude compared to a benchmark price for light, sweet oil. Raging River's average quality adjustment to Edmonton Par pricing during the third quarter was \$6.25/bbl.

Raging River's product mix during the third quarter was comprised of 1,748 bbls/d of light oil and 325 bbls/d of heavy oil. The heavy oil received a discount of approximately \$19.90/bbl to Edmonton Par while our light oil received a quality adjustment of approximately \$4.27/bbl. Raging River's overall quality adjustment to Edmonton Par pricing is expected to decrease throughout 2012 as all of the anticipated production additions will be light oil. The Company's liquids price averaged \$78.54/bbl for the third quarter of 2012 compared to \$78.59/bbl in the second quarter of 2012. Raging River's realized natural gas price in the third quarter of 2012 was \$1.91 per mcf compared to the AECO daily index average of \$2.20.

During the third quarter of 2012, the Company drilled a total of 37 gross (28.6 net) wells including 36 horizontal Viking oil wells at a 100% success rate and one vertical stratigraphic test well.

<b>Production</b>	<b>Q3/12</b>	<b>Q2/12</b>	<b>Q1/12</b>
Production			
Liquids (bbls/d)	2,073	1,667	1,345
Natural gas (mcf/d)	319	268	291
<b>Total (boe/d)</b>	<b>2,127</b>	<b>1,711</b>	<b>1,394</b>
% Increase/(decrease) over prior quarter	24%	23%	-

Quarter over quarter, production in the third quarter of 2012 increased to 2,127 boe/d from 1,711 boe/d, an increase of 24 percent as a result of the capital program and the associated drilling success achieved in the Dodsland area.

Petroleum and natural gas revenue in the third quarter of 2012 was \$15.0 million, a 29 percent increase from \$11.6 million in the second quarter of 2012. This increase in revenues between quarters was primarily due to the 24 percent increase in production volumes.

#### Commodity Price Risk Management:

Raging River, as part of our financial management strategy, has adopted a disciplined commodity hedging program. The objective of the hedging program is to reduce volatility in the financial results, protect acquisition economics and stabilize cash flow against the unpredictable commodity price environment. As the Company's production grows, our corporate hedging strategy will be restricted to a maximum hedge of 50% of the trailing month's actual production, allowing the Company to participate in commodity price increases while limiting exposure to declines in commodity prices. As of November 19, 2012 the Company has the following price contracts in place:

<u>Commodity</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Term</u>	<u>Volume</u>	<u>Price</u>	<u>Index</u>
<b>BY CONTRACT</b>					
Crude Oil	Fixed	Apr 2012 – Dec 2012	100 bbls/d	CAD \$104.45/bbl	WTI
Crude Oil	Fixed	Apr 2012 – Dec 2012	100 bbls/d	CAD \$106.90/bbl	WTI
Crude Oil	Fixed	Oct 2012 – Dec 2012	250 bbls/d	CAD \$83.01/bbl	WTI
Crude Oil	Fixed	Oct 2012 – Dec 2012	50 bbls/d	CAD \$87.60/bbl	WTI
Crude Oil	Fixed	Oct 2012 – Dec 2012	50 bbls/d	CAD \$89.60/bbl	WTI
Crude Oil	Fixed	Jan 2013 – Jun 2013	200 bbls/d	CAD \$97.00/bbl	WTI
Crude Oil	Fixed	Jan 2013 – Jun 2013	200 bbls/d	USD \$98.64/bbl	WTI

## Realized & unrealized gain on financial instruments

For the period from March 16, 2012 to September 30, 2012, the Company realized gains of \$357 thousand through its commodity price strategy and had unrealized gains of \$273 thousand. In the third quarter the Company realized gains of \$7 thousand and had unrealized losses of \$279 thousand.

As of September 30, 2012, the fair value of Raging River's outstanding commodity contracts is an unrealized asset of \$273 thousand as reflected in the financial statements. The fair value or mark to market value of these contracts is based upon the estimated amount that would have been received as at September 30, 2012 had the contracts been monetized or terminated. Subsequent changes in the fair value of the commodity contracts are recognized in the financial statements and could be materially different than what was recorded at September 30, 2012. The unrealized gain of \$273 thousand represents the fair value change in the period ended September 30, 2012 of the underlying commodity contracts to be settled in the future.

## Royalties

	Three months ended		Percent Change	Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30, 2012		Percent Change
	September 30, 2012	2011		2012	2011	
	<i>(thousands of dollars)</i>			<i>(thousands of dollars)</i>		
Crown	1,005	-	n/a	1,891	-	n/a
Freehold and GORR	501	-	n/a	977	-	n/a
	<u>1,506</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>2,868</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>n/a</u>
Percent of total revenue	10.0%	-	n/a	10.2%	-	n/a
Per boe (\$)	7.70	-	n/a	7.70	-	n/a

Royalty expenses consist of royalties paid to provincial governments, freehold landowners, overriding royalty owners and the Saskatchewan resource surcharge. Royalties for the 198 days of operations were \$2.9 million with an average royalty rate of 10.2% or \$7.70/boe. Royalties were \$1.5 million in the third quarter of 2012 with an average royalty rate of 10.0% or \$7.70/boe. Royalty expense increased in the third quarter as a result of the 24 percent increase in production volumes. Royalties as a percentage of petroleum and natural gas sales realized during the third quarter of 2012 were 0.5% less (a 5% overall reduction) than those received in the second quarter of 2012.

## Operating Expenses

	Three months ended		Percent Change	Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30, 2012		Percent Change
	September 30, 2012	2011		2012	2011	
Total operating costs (\$000's)	2,354	-	n/a	4,880	-	n/a
Percent of total revenue	15.7%	-	n/a	17.3%	-	n/a
Per boe (\$)	12.03	-	n/a	13.11	-	n/a

Operating expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 were \$2.4 million or \$12.03/boe. The year to date operating expenses were \$4.9 million or \$13.11/boe. Efficiencies in operations combined with economies of scale led to the 15 percent decline in per unit operating costs to \$12.03/boe from the prior quarter. With a 24 percent increase in production volumes offset by a 16 percent decline in per unit operating costs, third quarter operating expenses increased by only 6 percent quarter over quarter. The Company anticipates that fourth quarter operating expenses will be marginally higher on a boe basis due to normal winter operating conditions.

## Transportation Expenses

	Three months ended		Percent Change	Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30,		Percent Change
	September 30, 2012	2011		2012	2011	
Total transportation costs (\$000's)	330	-	n/a	642	-	n/a
Percent of total revenue	2.2%	-	n/a	2.3%	-	n/a
Per boe (\$)	1.69	-	n/a	1.72	-	n/a

Transportation expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 were \$330 thousand or \$1.69/boe compared to \$1.73/boe in the second quarter of 2012. The marginal decrease in per boe costs is a reflection of a higher volume of oil production being shipped through pipelines rather than through the higher cost of trucking oil. The year to date transportation expenses were \$642 thousand or \$1.72/boe. Transportation expenses relate to the cost of processing and hauling crude oil and transporting natural gas to the point of sale.

## General and Administrative Expenses

	Three months ended		Percent Change	Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30,		Percent Change
	September 30, 2012	2011		2012	2011	
	<i>(thousands of dollars)</i>			<i>(thousands of dollars)</i>		
General and administrative	934	-	n/a	1,868	-	n/a
Overhead recoveries	(272)	-	n/a	(330)	-	n/a
Capitalized G & A	(176)	-	n/a	(366)	-	n/a
	<u>486</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>1,172</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>n/a</u>
Percent of total revenue	3.2%	-	n/a	4.2%	-	n/a
Per boe (\$)	2.48	-	n/a	3.15	-	n/a

Net general and administration expenses for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 were \$486 thousand or \$2.48/boe compared to \$619 thousand or \$3.97/boe in the second quarter of 2012. The year to date net general and administration expenses were \$1.2 million or \$3.15/boe. Costs on a boe basis decreased from the prior quarter primarily as a result of increased production and an increase in overhead recoveries. Overhead recoveries increased in the third quarter due to a substantial increase in capital spending resulting in a decrease in net G&A from the prior quarter. General and administrative expenses increased in the third quarter as a result of employee related costs driven by the capital growth of Raging River and its operations.

## Financial Charges

	Three months ended		Percent Change	Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30,		Percent Change
	September 30, 2012	2011		2012	2011	
Financial charges (\$000's)	100	-	n/a	286	-	n/a
Percent of total revenue	0.7%	-	n/a	1.0%	-	n/a
Per boe (\$)	0.51	-	n/a	0.77	-	n/a

Financial charges during the third quarter of 2012 and the 198 day period ended September 30, 2012 were \$100 thousand and \$286 thousand, respectively. Interest on bank debt slightly decreased in the third quarter from the prior quarter due to carrying lower average debt levels. As at September 30, 2012 the Company had drawn \$7.6 million against the available credit facility of \$65 million.

### **Stock-based Compensation**

	Three months ended		Percent Change	Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30,		Percent Change
	September 30, 2012	2011		2012	2011	
Stock based compensation (\$000's)	270	-	n/a	388	-	n/a
Percent of total revenue	1.8%	-	n/a	1.4%	-	n/a
Per boe (\$)	1.38	-	n/a	1.04	-	n/a

The Company issued 6.1 million stock options in the second quarter of 2012. The expense is driven by the timing and valuation of new stock option grants. Stock based compensation expense in the third quarter of 2012 and the 198 day period ended September 30, 2012 were \$270 thousand and \$388 thousand, respectively. These options have a term of 3.5 years to expiry and have a three year vesting period from the date of grant. The stock-based compensation plan is accounted for using the fair value method of accounting.

### **Depletion, Depreciation and Accretion**

	Three months ended		Percent Change	Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30,		Percent Change
	September 30, 2012	2011		2012	2011	
	<i>(thousands of dollars)</i>			<i>(thousands of dollars)</i>		
Depletion and depreciation	5,952	-	n/a	11,067	-	n/a
Accretion	45	-	n/a	100	-	n/a
	<u>5,997</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>11,167</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>n/a</u>
Percent of total revenue	39.9%	-	n/a	39.6%	-	n/a
Per boe (\$)	30.65	-	n/a	29.99	-	n/a

Depletion and depreciation expense for the third quarter period ended September 30, 2012 was \$6.0 million or \$30.65/boe compared to \$4.5 million or \$29.21/boe in the second quarter of 2012. The year to date depletion and depreciation expense was \$11.2 million or \$29.99/boe. Depletion of oil and gas assets is provided on the "unit-of-production" method based on total proved and probable reserves, including future development costs, on a component basis.

Accretion represents the time value of the asset retirement obligation and is calculated at the Company's risk-free rate, currently 2.33 percent. It will continue to increase with the passage of time and the increases in asset retirement obligations.

### **Gain on sale of assets**

During the second quarter of 2012, the Company completed a minor undeveloped land disposition and asset exchange. The excess of the monetary and non-monetary consideration received over the carrying value of assets given up, resulted in the recognition of a net gain in the amount of \$1.5 million.

## Asset Retirement Obligations

On the transfer of assets on the common control transaction, Raging River assumed asset retirement obligations of the acquired assets at a net present value of \$6.8 million. As at September 30, 2012, the asset retirement obligation increased to \$9.1 million primarily as a result of drilling in the period, the \$33.0 million property acquisition in May 2012 and an upward revision to the cost estimate due to a discount rate change to 2.33 percent.

## Future Income Taxes

A future income tax provision for the period ended September 30, 2012 was \$2.5 million for an effective tax provision rate of 28.1 percent. During the period ended September 30, 2012, Raging River was not subject to any current corporate income tax due to significant tax pool balances which are estimated at approximately \$179 million.

## Funds from Operations and Net Earnings

The Company's funds from operations and net earnings generating capability are a direct result of production, commodity prices, and the cost to find and produce reserves. In the three month period ended September 30, 2012, Raging River recorded funds from operations of \$10.3 million and net earnings of \$2.6 million. Quarter over quarter funds flow from operations increased by \$2.8 million or 37 percent. The increase is attributable to a 24 percent increase in production combined with a 9 percent increase in corporate netbacks. For the year to date since commencement of operations to September 30, 2012, Raging River recorded funds from operations of \$18.7 million and net earnings of \$6.4 million.

The following table summarizes the operating netback, funds from operations and net earnings on a barrel of oil equivalent basis:

	Three months ended		Percent Change	Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to		Percent Change
	September 30, 2012	2011		September 30, 2012	2011	
	(\$/boe)			(\$/boe)		
Petroleum and natural gas revenue	76.86	-	n/a	75.74	-	n/a
Realized gain on commodity contracts	0.04	-	n/a	0.96	-	n/a
Royalties	(7.70)	-	n/a	(7.70)	-	n/a
Net revenue	69.20	-	n/a	69.00	-	n/a
Operating expenses	(12.03)	-	n/a	(13.11)	-	n/a
Transportation expenses	(1.69)	-	n/a	(1.72)	-	n/a
Operating netback	55.48	-	n/a	54.17	-	n/a
General and administrative expenses	(2.48)	-	n/a	(3.15)	-	n/a
Financial charges	(0.51)	-	n/a	(0.77)	-	n/a
Funds from operations	52.49	-	n/a	50.25	-	n/a
Unrealized gain (loss) on financial instruments	(1.43)	-	n/a	0.73	-	n/a
Stock-based compensation expense	(1.38)	-	n/a	(1.04)	-	n/a
Gain on sale	-	-	n/a	3.94	-	n/a
Depletion, depreciation and accretion expense	(30.65)	-	n/a	(29.99)	-	n/a
Earnings before taxes	19.03	-	n/a	23.89	-	n/a
Future income tax provision	(4.86)	-	n/a	(6.38)	-	n/a
Net earnings	14.17	-	n/a	17.51	-	n/a

## Capital Expenditures

Total exploration and development capital expenditures for the three month period ended September 30, 2012 were \$27.3 million and \$58.9 million for the 198 day period ended September 30, 2012. The expenditures are detailed below net of dispositions:

	Three months ended September 30,		Percent Change	Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30,		Percent Change
	2012	2011		2012	2011	
	<i>(thousands of dollars)</i>			<i>(thousands of dollars)</i>		
Land	26	-	n/a	772	-	n/a
Property acquisitions	1,889	-	n/a	29,812	-	n/a
Geological and geophysical	328	-	n/a	620	-	n/a
Drilling and completions	24,536	-	n/a	27,041	-	n/a
Production facilities	470	-	n/a	638	-	n/a
Office	21	-	n/a	35	-	n/a
Exploration and development	<u>27,270</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>n/a</u>	<u>58,918</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>n/a</u>

In the third quarter of 2012, Raging River continued to remain active and drilled a total of 37 gross (28.6 net) wells including 36 horizontal Viking oil wells at a 100% success rate and one vertical stratigraphic test well. In the 198 day period ended September 30, 2012, Raging river drilled 42 gross (30.9 net) wells in the Dodsland area.

The \$27.3 million capital program for the third quarter was financed from funds from operations and bank debt.

During the second quarter of 2012, Raging River invested a total of \$33.0 million in a property acquisition of producing properties and undeveloped land expanding its core area of Dodsland in southwest Saskatchewan for consideration of \$27.2 million of cash and 2.75 million common shares of Raging River. The property acquisitions increased production by approximately 175 bbls/d of high netback Viking light oil and 21.5 net sections of undeveloped land.

## Drilling Activity

The following table summarizes our drilling results.

	Three months ended September 30,				Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30,			
	2012		2011		2012		2011	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Natural gas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Crude oil	36	27.6	-	-	41	29.9	-	-
Test	1	1	-	-	1	1	-	-
Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dry and abandoned	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	<u>37</u>	<u>28.6</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>30.9</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Success	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>100%</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

At September 30, 2012, the Company had net debt of \$25.8 million compared to a net debt of \$63.9 million at March 15, 2012. For the period ended September 30, 2012, funds from operations of \$18.7 million, common share equity issuances net proceeds of \$78.4 million less \$58.9 million of net capital expenditures resulted in a net debt of \$25.8 million. The Company expects to have adequate liquidity to fund the 2012 capital expenditure budget of \$82 million through a combination of funds flow, the \$65 million credit facility with the National Bank of Canada and the May 2012 financing of \$35 million.

## **Capital Resources**

	September 30,	
	2012	2011
<i>(\$thousands)</i>		
Capital Resources		
Bank debt available	65,000	-
Working capital deficiency	(25,768)	-
Total capital resources available	39,232	-

During the first half of 2012, the Company had the following changes to its share capital:

On March 15, 2012, Raging River completed a private placement of 14.4 million Raging River units at an issuance price of \$1.61 per share for gross proceeds of \$23.1 million. Each unit consists of one Raging River common share and one warrant entitling the holder to purchase one Raging Share at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share, with the warrants expiring on March 15, 2015.

On March 15, 2012, the Company closed the arrangement agreement whereby 73.7 million Wild Stream shares were converted into 73.7 million Raging River common shares and 14.2 million Raging River common share purchase warrants, each purchase warrant entitling the holder to purchase one Raging River share at an exercise price of \$1.61 per share. The purchase warrants expired on April 16, 2012.

On May 8, 2012, the Company completed a bought deal financing for gross proceeds of \$35 million and issued 17.5 million common shares at a price of \$2.00 per common share.

On May 4, 2012, the Company issued 2.75 million common shares as partial consideration for a property acquisition in southwest Saskatchewan.

In the period March 16, 2012 to April 16, 2012, 14.0 million purchase warrants were exercised for gross proceeds of \$22.6 million.

In March 2012, the Company established a \$45 million demand revolving credit facility with its lender which was subsequently increased to \$65 million in May 2012. Upon interim review, the facility has been extended to May 2013.

## Common share information

### CAPITALIZATION AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Share Capital

	Three months ended September 30		Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to September 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Outstanding common shares				
Weighted Average Outstanding Common Shares <sup>(1)</sup>				
-Basic	122,382	-	115,212	-
-Diluted	123,437	-	115,854	-
Outstanding Securities at September 30, 2012				
-Common shares			122,382,343	
-Stock options – strike price of \$1.90			6,070,000	
-Warrants issued through Private Placement – strike price of \$2.00			14,375,000	

*(1) Per share information is calculated on the basis of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the fiscal period. Diluted per share information reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common shares were exercised or converted to common shares. Diluted per share information is calculated using the treasury stock method which assumes that any proceeds received by the Company upon exercise of in-the-money stock options or warrants plus the unamortized stock compensation expense would be used to buy back common shares at the average market price for the period.*

## **Total Market Capitalization**

The Company's market capitalization at September 30, 2012 was \$277.8 million.

	September 30, 2012
Common Shares Outstanding	122,382,343
Share Price (1)	\$2.27
Total Market Capitalization	\$277,807,919

*(1) Represents the last price traded on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSXV") on September 30, 2012.*

As at November 19, 2012 the Company had 122,382,343 common shares outstanding.

	November 19, 2012
Outstanding Securities at November 19, 2012	
-Common shares	122,382,343
-Stock options – strike price of \$1.90	6,070,000
-Warrants issued through Private Placement – strike price of \$2.00	14,375,000

## Subsequent Events

As at November 19, 2012, there are no subsequent events to report.

## Contractual Obligations and Commitments

Raging River has assumed various contractual obligations and commitments in the normal course of operations and financing activities. We consider these obligations when assessing cash requirements in the discussion of future liquidity that follows:

## Contractual Obligations

Payments due by Period (\$ thousands)	Less than 1 Year	1 to 3 Years	4 to 5 Years	After 5 Years	Total
Bank debt	7,608	-	-	-	7,608
Office lease	94	1,099	-	-	1,193
<b>Total contractual obligations</b>	<b>7,702</b>	<b>1,099</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>8,801</b>

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

There are currently no significant off-balance sheet arrangements.

## Related Party Transactions

Refer to description of the Company above for discussion of the common control transaction.

## Summary of Quarterly Results

	Q3/12	Q2/12	Commencement of operations March 16, 2012 to March 31, 2012
<b>Financial</b> <i>(thousands of dollars except share data)</i>			
Petroleum and natural gas revenue	15,038	11,602	1,559
Funds flow from operations <sup>(1)</sup>	10,269	7,492	948
Per share - basic	0.08	0.07	0.01
- diluted	0.08	0.07	0.01
Net earnings	2,773	3,363	383
Per share - basic	0.02	0.03	-
- diluted	0.02	0.03	-
Capital expenditures, net	27,270	31,537	72
Shareholders' equity	161,258	158,154	97,640
Weighted average shares <i>(thousands)</i>			
Basic	122,382	112,380	88,416
Diluted	123,437	112,380	97,640
Shares outstanding, end of period <i>(thousands)</i>			
Basic	122,382	122,382	91,041
Diluted	142,827	142,952	102,310
<b>Operating</b> <i>(6:1 boe conversion)</i>			
Average daily production			
Crude oil and NGLs <i>(bbls/d)</i>	2,073	1,667	1,345
Natural gas <i>(mcf/d)</i>	319	268	291
Barrels of oil equivalent <sup>(2)</sup> <i>(boe/d)</i>	2,127	1,711	1,394
Average selling prices <sup>(4)</sup>			
Crude oil and NGLs <i>(\$/bbl)</i>	78.58	78.59	76.95
Natural gas <i>(\$/mcf)</i>	1.91	1.37	1.38
Barrels of oil equivalent <sup>(2)</sup> <i>(\$/boe)</i>	76.90	76.75	74.56
Netbacks <i>(\$/boe)</i>			
Operating			
Petroleum and natural gas revenue <sup>(4)</sup>	76.90	76.75	74.56
Royalties	(7.70)	(7.79)	(7.10)
Operating expenses	(12.03)	(14.25)	(14.63)
Transportation expenses	(1.69)	(1.73)	(2.03)
Operating netback <i>(\$/boe)</i>	55.48	52.98	50.80
General and administrative expense	(2.48)	(3.97)	(3.25)
Financial charges	(0.51)	(0.90)	(2.21)
Corporate netback <sup>(3)</sup> <i>(\$/boe)</i>	52.49	48.11	45.34

---

*(1) Management uses funds flow from operations to analyze operating performance and leverage. Funds flow from operations as presented does not have any standardized meaning prescribed by IFRS and therefore it may not be comparable with the calculation of similar measures for other entities. The reconciliation between funds flow from operations and cash flow from operating activities can be found in the MD & A.*

*(2) Boe conversion ratio for natural gas of 1 Boe: 6 Mcf has been used, which is based on an energy equivalency conversion method primarily applicable at the burner tip and does not necessarily represent a value equivalency at the wellhead. As the value ratio between natural gas and crude oil based on the current prices of natural gas and crude oil is significantly different from the energy equivalency of 6:1, utilizing a conversion on a 6:1 basis may be misleading as an indication of value.*

*(3) Corporate netbacks are calculated as the operating netback less general and administrative expenses, financial charges asset retirement obligations and transaction costs.*

*(4) Excludes unrealized risk management contracts.*

The fluctuations in Raging River's revenue, funds from operations and net earnings from quarter to quarter are primarily due to increases in production volumes, changes in realized commodity pricing and the related impact on royalties. With the commencement of operations in the later part of the first quarter of 2012 continuing through the third quarter, the Company commenced an active capital expenditure program including property acquisitions. This has resulted in a substantial increase on a quarter over quarter basis in the Company's 2012 production, revenues, funds from operations and net earnings. Please refer to the Financial and Operating Results section of this MD&A for detailed discussions of changes in the third quarter of 2012.

### **Business Environment and Risk**

The business risks the Company is exposed to are those inherent in the oil and gas industry as well as those governed by the individual nature of Raging River's operations. Geological and engineering risks, the uncertainty of discovering commercial quantities of new reserves, commodity prices, interest rate and foreign exchange risks, competition and government regulations – all of these govern the business and influence the controls and management at the Company. Raging River manages these risks by:

- attracting and retaining a team of highly qualified and motivated professionals who have a vested interest in the success of the Company;
- operating properties in order to maximize opportunities;
- employing risk management instruments to minimize exposure to volatility of commodity prices, interest rate and foreign exchange rates;
- maintaining a strong financial position; and
- maintaining strict environmental, safety and health practices

### **Application of Critical Accounting Estimates**

Management is required to make judgments, assumptions and estimates in the application of generally accepted accounting principles that have a significant impact on the financial results of the Company.

The determination of what constitutes a cash-generating unit used to test of the recoverability of development and production asset carrying values is subject to management judgment. The asset composition of a CGU can directly impact the recoverability of the assets included therein. The key estimates used in the determination of cash flows from oil and natural gas reserves include the following:

- i) Reserves – Assumptions that are valid at the time of reserve estimation may change significantly when new information becomes available. Changes in forward price estimates, production levels or results of future drilling may change the economic status of reserves and may ultimately result in reserves being restated.
- ii) Oil and natural gas prices – Forward price estimates are used in the cash flow model. Commodity prices can fluctuate for a variety of reasons including supply and demand fundamentals, inventory levels, exchanges rates, weather, and economic and geopolitical factors.

- iii) Discount rate – The discount rate used to calculate the net present value of cash flows is based on estimates of an approximate industry peer group weighted average cost of capital. Changes in the general economic environment could result in significant changes to this estimate.

Amounts recorded for depletion and depreciation and amounts used for impairment calculations are based on estimates of petroleum and natural gas reserves. By their nature, the estimates of reserves, including the estimates of future prices, costs, discount rates, future development costs and the related future cash flows, are subject to measurement uncertainty. Accordingly, the impact to the Financial Statements in future periods could be material.

Amounts recorded for asset retirement obligations and the related accretion expense requires the use of estimates with respect to the amount and timing of abandonment expenditures. Other provisions are recognized in the period when it becomes probable that there will be a future cash outflow.

The estimated fair values of derivative financial instruments resulting in financial assets and liabilities, by their very nature are subject to measurement uncertainty.

The estimated fair values of warrants using pricing models such as the Black-Scholes model is based on significant assumptions such as volatility and expected term.

Tax interpretations, regulations and legislation in the various jurisdictions in which the Company operates are subject to change. As such, income taxes are subject to measurement uncertainty.

By their nature, contingencies will only be resolved when one or more future events occur or fail to occur. The assessment of contingencies inherently involves the exercise of significant judgment and estimates of the outcome of future events.

### **Significant Accounting Policies**

The accounting policies set out below have been applied to the Interim Financial Statements.

- a) Jointly controlled operations and jointly controlled assets:

Many of the Company's oil and natural gas activities involve jointly controlled assets. The Financial Statements include the Company's share of these jointly controlled assets and a proportionate share of the relevant revenue and related costs.

- b) Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is carried at cost, less accumulated depletion and depreciation and accumulated impairment losses. The cost of development and production assets includes; transfers from exploration and evaluation assets, which generally include the costs to drill the well and the cost of the associated land upon determination of technical feasibility and commercial viability; the cost to complete and tie-in the wells; facility costs; the cost of recognizing provisions for future asset retirement obligations; geological and geophysical costs; and directly attributable overheads.

Gains and losses on disposal of an item of property and equipment, including oil and natural gas interests are determined by comparing the proceeds from disposal with the carrying amount of property and equipment and are recognized net within "(gain) loss on disposition" in income.

*Subsequent costs:*

Costs incurred subsequent to the determination of technical feasibility and commercial viability and the costs of replacing parts of property and equipment are recognized as oil and natural gas interests only when they increase the future economic benefits embodied in the specific asset to which they relate. All other expenditures are recognized in earnings as incurred. Such capitalized oil and natural gas interests generally represent costs incurred in developing proved and/or probable reserves and bringing in or enhancing production from such reserves, and are accumulated on a field or geotechnical area basis. The carrying amount of any replaced or sold component is derecognized. The costs of the day-to-day servicing of property and equipment are recognized in operating expenses as incurred.

### *Depletion and Depreciation*

The net carrying value of the development and production assets is depleted using the unit of production method based on estimated proven and probable reserves, taking into account estimated future development costs necessary to bring those reserves into production. These estimates are evaluated by independent reserve engineers at least annually.

Costs associated with office furniture, fixtures, leasehold improvements and information technology are carried at cost and depreciated on a 20 percent declining balance.

### *Impairment*

The carrying amounts of property and equipment are reviewed at each reporting date to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If any such indication exists, the estimated recoverable amount is calculated. For the purpose of impairment testing, assets are grouped together into the smallest group of assets that generates cash inflows from continuing use that are largely independent of the cash inflows of other assets or groups of assets (the "cash-generating unit" or "CGU"). The recoverable amount of an asset or a CGU is the greater of its value in use or fair value less costs to sell.

Fair value less cost to sell is determined as the amount that would be obtained from the sale of a CGU in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties. The fair value less cost to sell of oil and gas assets is generally determined as the net present value of the estimated future cash flows expected to arise from the continued use of the CGU, including any expansion prospects, and its eventual disposal, using assumptions that an independent market participant may take into account. These cash flows are discounted by an appropriate discount rate which would be applied by such a market participant to arrive at a net present value of the CGU.

In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money. Value in use is generally computed by reference to the present value of the future cash flows expected to be derived from production of proved and probable reserves.

An impairment loss is recognized in depletion and depreciation expense if the carrying amount of an asset or its CGU exceeds its estimated recoverable amount. Impairment losses previously recognized are assessed at each reporting date for any indications that the loss has decreased or no longer exists. An impairment loss is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates of the carrying amount only to the extent that the assets carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined, net of accumulated depletion and depreciation, if no impairment loss had been recognized.

### c) Exploration and Evaluation Assets

All costs directly associated with the exploration and evaluation of natural gas and liquids reserves are initially capitalized. Exploration and evaluation costs are those expenditures for an area where technical feasibility and commercial viability has not yet been determined. These costs include land and licence acquisition costs, exploratory drilling, geological, geophysical and seismic studies, and other directly attributable costs. Costs incurred prior to acquiring the legal rights to explore an area are expensed.

Exploration and evaluation assets are not depreciated or depleted since the assets are not currently available for use. Technical feasibility and commercial viability are demonstrated when proved and probable reserves are determined to exist. Once technical feasibility and commercial viability have been shown to exist, the asset is transferred to property and equipment. If the cost of the asset is greater than the carrying value of the asset, then the costs associated with the asset will be written off.

### d) Asset Retirement Obligations (“ARO”)

The Company records a provision to the future cost associated with the legal obligation to abandon and reclaim property and equipment. The fair value of the liability related to the Company’s ARO is recorded in the period in which it is incurred, with a corresponding increase in the carrying amount of the related asset. The estimated future costs are discounted to their present value using the Company’s risk-free interest rate. The capitalized amount is depleted on the unit-of-production method based on proved and probable reserves. The liability amount is increased each reporting period due to the passage of time and the amount of accretion is expensed in the period. Actual expenditures incurred are charged against the obligations to the extent incurred.

### e) Income Taxes

Income tax expense is comprised of current and deferred tax. Income tax expense is recognized in the statement of operations and comprehensive earnings except to the extent that it relates to items recognized directly in equity, in which case it is recognized in equity.

Current tax is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the period, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous periods.

Deferred tax is recognized on the temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for taxation purposes. Deferred tax is not recognized on the initial recognition of assets or liabilities in a transaction that is not a business combination. In addition, deferred tax is not recognized for taxable temporary differences arising on the initial recognition of goodwill. Deferred tax is measured at the tax rates that are expected to be applied to temporary differences when they reverse, based on the laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the reporting date. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset if there is a legally enforceable right to offset, and they relate to income taxes levied by the same tax authority on the same taxable entity, or on different tax entities, but they intend to settle current tax liabilities and assets on a net basis or their tax assets and liabilities will be realized simultaneously.

A deferred tax asset is recognized to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the temporary difference can be utilized. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realized.

f) Flow-through shares

Resource expenditures for income tax purposes related to exploration and development activities funded by flow-through share arrangements are renounced to investors in accordance with income tax legislation. A deferred liability is recognized for the premium on the flow-through shares and is subsequently reversed as the Company incurs qualifying expenditures. Any difference between the deferred liability set up for the premium on the flow-through shares and the tax effect on the renounced expenditures is recognized in profit or loss.

g) Stock-Based Compensation Plan

The Company accounts for its stock based compensation plan using the fair value method. Fair value is determined at the grant date using the Modified Black-Scholes option-pricing model and is recognized over the vesting period of the options granted as stock compensation expense and contributed surplus. The contributed surplus balance is reduced as the options are exercised and the amount initially recorded is credited to share capital. Upon the exercise of the stock option, consideration together with the amount previously recognized in contributed surplus, is credited to share capital. A forfeiture rate is estimated on the grant date and is adjusted to reflect the actual number of options that vest.

h) Financial instruments:

*Non-derivative financial instruments*

Non-derivative financial instruments comprise cash, accounts receivables, bank debt and accounts payable. Non-derivative financial instruments are recognized initially at fair value net of any directly attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition non-derivative financial instruments are measured as described below.

*Financial assets at fair value through earnings*

An instrument is classified at fair value through earnings if it is held for trading or is designated as such upon initial recognition. Financial instruments are designated at fair value through earnings if the Company manages such investments and makes purchase and sale decisions based on their fair value in accordance with the Company's risk management or investment strategy. Upon initial recognition, transaction costs are recognized in earnings when incurred. Financial instruments at fair value through earnings are measured at fair value, and changes therein are recognized in earnings.

*Compound instruments*

Compound instruments are separated into their liability and equity components using the effective interest rate method. The liability component accretes up to the principal balance at maturity. The equity component will be reclassified to share capital on conversions. Any balance in equity that remains after the settlement of the liability is transferred to contributed surplus. The equity portion is recognized net of deferred income taxes.

## *Other*

Other non-derivative financial instruments, such as accounts receivable, bank debt, accounts payable and accrued liabilities, are measured at amortized cost using the effective interest method, less any impairment losses.

## *Derivative financial instruments*

The Company has entered into certain financial derivative contracts in order to manage the exposure to market risks from fluctuations in commodity prices. These instruments are not used for trading or speculative purposes. The Company has not designated its financial derivative contracts as effective accounting hedges, and thus not applied hedge accounting, even though the Company considers all commodities contracts to be economic hedges. As a result, all financial derivative contracts are classified as fair value through earnings and are recorded on the statement of financial position at fair value. Transaction costs are recognized in earnings when incurred.

Embedded derivatives are separated from the host contract and accounted for separately if the economic characteristics and risks of the host contract and the embedded derivative are not closely related, a separate instrument with the same terms as the embedded derivative would meet the definition of a derivative, and the combined instrument is not measured at fair value through earnings. Changes in the fair value of separable embedded derivatives are recognized immediately in earnings.

## *Share Capital*

Common shares are classified as equity. Incremental costs directly attributable to the issue of common shares and share options are recognized as a deduction from equity, net of any tax effects.

### i) Share Amounts

Basic per share information is calculated on the basis of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted per share amounts are calculated using the treasury stock method. Diluted calculations reflect the weighted average incremental common shares that would be issued upon exercise of dilutive options and warrants assuming proceeds would be used to repurchase shares at average market prices for the period. Anti-dilutive options and warrants are not included in the calculation.

### j) Borrowing costs

Borrowing costs attributable to the acquisition, construction or production of qualifying assets are added to the cost of those assets, until such time as the assets are substantially ready for their intended use. All other borrowing costs are recognized as interest expense in the statement of operations and comprehensive earnings in the period in which they are incurred.

### k) Revenue Recognition

Petroleum and natural gas sales are recognized as revenue at the time the respective commodities are delivered to purchasers.

### l) Common control transaction

Business combinations involving entities under common control is outside the scope of IFRS 3, Business Combinations. IFRS provides no guidance on the accounting for these types of transactions, however requires an entity to develop an accounting policy. The two most common methods utilized are the acquisition method and the predecessor values method. A business combination involving

entities under common control is a business combination in which all of the combining entities are ultimately controlled by the same party, both before and after the business combination, and control is not transitory. Management has determined the predecessor values method to be most appropriate. The predecessor method requires the financial statements to be prepared using the predecessor book values without any step up to fair value. The difference between any consideration given and the aggregate book value of the assets and liabilities of the acquired entity are recorded as an adjustment to equity.

### **Current International Accounting Standards Board (“IASB”) Projects**

The following pronouncements from the IASB will become effective for financial reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013 and have not yet been adopted by the Company. All of these new or revised standards permit early adoption with transitional arrangements depending upon the date of initial application:

IFRS 10 - Consolidated Financial Statements builds on existing principles and standards and identifies the concept of control as the determining factor in whether an entity should be included within the consolidated financial statements of the parent company.

IFRS 11 - Joint Arrangements establishes the principles for financial reporting by entities when they have an interest in arrangements that are jointly controlled.

IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interest in Other Entities provides the disclosure requirements for interests held in other entities including joint arrangements, associates, special purpose entities and other off balance sheet entities.

IFRS 13 - Fair Value Measurement defines fair value, requires disclosure about fair value measurements and provides a framework for measuring fair value when it is required or permitted within the IFRS standards.

IAS 27 - Separate Financial Statements revised the existing standard which addresses the presentation of parent company financial statements that are not consolidated financial statements.

IAS 28 - Investments in Associate and Joint Ventures revised the existing standard and prescribes the accounting for investments and sets out the requirements for the application of the equity method when accounting for investments in associates and joint ventures. The Company has not completed its evaluation of the effect of adopting these standards on its consolidated financial statements.

The IASB has also issued IFRS 9 Financial Instruments, which is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015 with early adoption permitted. IFRS 9 is the first step to replace IAS 39 Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement. IFRS 9 uses a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is measured at amortized cost or fair value, replacing the multiple rules in IAS 39. The approach in IFRS 9 is based on how an entity manages its financial instruments in the context of its business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets. The new standard also requires a single impairment method to be used, replacing the multiple impairment methods in IAS 39. The Company has not yet assessed the impact of the standard or determined whether it will adopt the standard early.

The Company has performed a preliminary assessment of the impact of the new and amended standards and does not currently expect that the adoption of these standards will have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

## **Corporate Information**

### **Board of Directors**

NEIL ROSZELL  
President & CEO, Raging River Exploration Inc.  
Calgary, Alberta

GEORGE FINK <sup>(1) (2)</sup>  
Chairman & CEO, Bonterra Energy Corp.  
Calgary, Alberta

RAYMOND P. MACK <sup>(1) (2) (3)</sup>  
Partner, Kenway Mack Slusarchuk Stewart LLP  
Calgary, Alberta

KEVIN OLSON <sup>(1) (3)</sup>  
President, Kyklopes Capital Management Ltd.  
Calgary, Alberta

DAVE PEARCE <sup>(2) (3)</sup>  
Industry Partner KERN Partners  
Calgary, Alberta

- (1) Audit Committee
- (2) Corporate Governance and Compensation Committee
- (3) Reserves Committee

### **Officers**

NEIL ROSZELL, P. Eng.  
President & CEO

BRUCE ROBERTSON  
Executive Vice President

JERRY SAPIEHA, CA  
Vice President Finance & CFO

BRUCE BEYNON  
Vice President Exploration

DAVE BURTON, P. Eng.  
Vice President Engineering

JASON JASKELA, P. Eng.  
Vice President Production

GARY BUGEAUD (Corporate Secretary)  
Burnet, Duckworth & Palmer LLP

### **Head Office**

Suite 710, 400 – 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue SW  
Calgary, Alberta T2P 0L6  
Tel: (403) 387-2950  
Fax: (403) 387-2951

### **Bankers**

National Bank of Canada  
Calgary, Alberta

### **Auditors**

KPMG LLP  
Calgary, Alberta

### **Independent Reservoir Consultants**

Sproule Associates Limited  
Calgary, Alberta

**Website: [www.rrexploration.com](http://www.rrexploration.com)**